

STATE OF MAINE  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2001-479

August 9, 2001

VERIZON NEW ENGLAND INC.  
D/B/A VERIZON MAINE  
Request for Approval of Amendment  
No. 1 to Interconnection Agreement With  
Adelphia Business Solutions

ORDER APPROVING  
AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO  
INTERCONNECTION  
AGREEMENT WITH  
ADELPHIA BUSINESS  
SOLUTIONS

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

---

In this Order, we approve an amendment to an Interconnection Agreement between New England Telephone and Telegraph Company d/b/a Bell Atlantic - Maine (Bell Atlantic) (f/k/a NYNEX) and Adelphia Business Solutions (Adelphia), pursuant to section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

On March 9, 1999, in Docket No. 99-103, the Commission approved an interconnection agreement between Bell Atlantic and Hyperion Communications of Maine, Inc. (Hyperion). That agreement incorporated terms and conditions of a separate agreement between NYNEX and New England Fiber Communications, L.L.C., approved by the Commission on August 26, 1997 in Docket No. 97-502. On August 1, 2000, Bell Atlantic changed its name to Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon Maine.

On July 11, 2001, Verizon Maine filed Amendment No. 1 to its agreement with Hyperion pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, enacted by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The amendment states that Hyperion is now known as Adelphia Business Solutions. Interconnection agreements provide for interconnection between an incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC) and another telecommunications carrier, including a competitive local exchange carrier (CLEC). An interconnection agreement may allow a telecommunications carrier to purchase unbundled network elements or local services at a discounted wholesale rate (the discount reflecting avoided cost), or both, from an ILEC (or CLEC). The amendment responds to an order issued by the Federal Communications Commission on November 5, 1999 in CC Docket No. 96-98 (the UNE Remand Order), and a supplemental order issued November 24, 1999 in the same proceeding.

Section 252(e)(2) states that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it finds that "the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement" or if "the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity." We received no comments by the comment deadline set in a July 19, 2001 Notice of Opportunity to Comment Agreement. We do not make either of the findings set for in section 252(e)(2) for rejection, and we therefore approve the agreement amendment.

We qualify our approval in two respects, however, and reserve findings on future potential issues. First, we reserve judgment on whether the rates contained in the amended agreement are reasonable from the perspective of Verizon Maine's retail ratepayers. Verizon Maine is presently under an alternative form of regulation (AFOR) ordered by the Commission in Docket No. 94-123. The AFOR began in December, 1995. Under the AFOR, Verizon Maine bears the risk of lost revenues resulting from rates that are too low. In Docket No. 99-851, we have continued the AFOR until May 31, 2006. We do not resolve whether Verizon Maine is receiving reasonable compensation from any CLECs that may avail themselves of the rates provided to Adelphia pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(i).

Second, section 271(c) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 271(c), requires that the Bell Operating Companies (BOCs) meet certain requirements before they are allowed to provide interLATA service (the so-called "competitive checklist"). Under section 271(d)(3), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) must determine whether the BOC has met the competitive checklist before granting the BOC authority to provide interLATA service within its region. Prior to making that determination, the FCC must consult with state commissions to verify the compliance of the BOC with the checklist. Our approval of this agreement amendment should not be construed as a finding that Verizon Maine has met those requirements.

The agreement amendment filed by Verizon Maine provides for interconnection between Adelphia and Verizon Maine's network in Maine. If Adelphia seeks to interconnect with networks maintained by other incumbent local exchange carriers in Maine, it must seek a termination, suspension, or modification of the exemption contained in 47 U.S.C. 251(f)(1)(A).

#### **ORDERING PARAGRAPHS**

Accordingly, we

1. Approve Amendment No 1 filed by Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon Maine to the Interconnection Agreement between New England Telephone and Telegraph Company d/b/a Bell Atlantic - Maine and Adelphia Business Solutions f/k/a Hyperion Communications of Maine, Inc., attached hereto, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e); and

2. Order that the Administrative Director shall make a copy of the attached Amendment available for public inspection and copying pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 252(h) within 10 days of the date of this Order.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 9<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2001.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

---

Dennis L. Keschl  
Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR: Welch

Nugent  
Diamond

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

1. Reconsideration of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
2. Appeal of a final decision of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Appellate Procedure.
3. Additional court review of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.